

Appl. No. 10/811,567
Amdt. dated June 13, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 12, 2005
Attorney Docket K-2124

REMARKS

This amendment is submitted in response to the Official Letter, dated May 12, 2005. Claims 2-4 and 11-13 have been canceled. Claims 1, 8, 10 and 14 have been amended. New claim 16 has been presented. Ten (10) claims are pending and remain for consideration. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

1. Drawings

Applicant acknowledges that acceptance of the drawings filed on March 29, 2004, as indicated on the Office Action Summary of the Official Letter.

2. Claims 2-4 and 11-15 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. These claims have been cancelled.

3. Claims 1-3, 5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Nos. 4,813,826, to Riedel, 4,715,125, to Livick, or 6,193,449, to Diaz. Claim 2-4 and 11-13 have been cancelled.

Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to recite a front template having a first side and a second side each including a recess of a different width, as was set forth in claims 4 and 13, which the Examiner has indicated are allowable. Consequently, claims 1 and 10 should be allowable as amended.

Claims 5-9 and 14-15 respectively depend from claims 1 and 10 and should be allowable for at least the same reason(s) as claims 1 and 10, as set forth above.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over Riedel, Livick, or Diaz in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0121025. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 6 depends from claim 1 and should be allowable for at least the same reason(s) as claim 1, as set forth above.

Appl. No. 10/811,567
Amdt. dated June 13, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 12, 2005
Attorney Docket K-2124

5. New Claims

New claim 16 has been presented. This claim includes all the limitations of claim 7 in independent form, which the Examiner has indicated is allowable. Consequently, claim 16 should be allowable as presented.

6. Conclusion

In view of the amendments and above remarks, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, an early Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

7. Request For Telephone Interview

As a final matter, if the Examiner has any suggestions concerning different claim phraseology that, in the opinion of the Examiner, more accurately defines the present invention, prior to issuance of another Office Action, Applicant's undersigned attorney requests the courtesy of a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience to discuss the application. Applicant's undersigned attorney may be contacted at (724) 539-5485.

Respectfully submitted,


Larry R. Meenan
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 33,423
Date: June 13, 2005

Kennametal Inc.
P. O. Box 231
Latrobe, PA 15650
(724) 539-5485 Phone
(724) 539-5903 Fax